## Attachment to Independent Case Review Report For CDRU # 6488 Case file # 95-257956.

Remarks:

Case resulted in a guilty plea, no testimony transcript.

Independent Review		. oj.	Steve Roberts				
Area(s) of Expertise:	Hair ar	nd Fiber	s				
Review commenced a	t: <u>2:15</u>	РМ	(Time),	10/23/02	(Da	te)	
File #: 95-257956	<b>i</b>						;
Laboratory #(s): 31	1028031			-			· ·
				- -			· i
			Examiner(	(s) & Symbol	S		
	Reviev	wed 1	Not Reviewed			Reviewed	Not Rev
RQ	X a	1	0			0	; 0
	-		Χ¤			٥	, 0
	_						,
Trial testimony transcr	ript(s) of:	gu		ls Reviewed			-
Trial testimony transci Testimony Da  Laboratory Report(s):  Laboratory Nui Laboratory Nui Laboratory Nui	ript(s) of: ate(s):  mber:	gu 310280	Material		Date: Date:	Dec. 30, 1983	•
Testimony Da Laboratory Report(s): Laboratory Nu Laboratory Nu	ript(s) of: ate(s): mber: mber:	310280	Material	Pages:	Date:		•
Testimony Da Laboratory Report(s): Laboratory Nu Laboratory Nu Laboratory Nu	ript(s) of:  ate(s):  mber:  mber:  s of:	310280	Material ilty plea-no tra	Pages:	Date:		;
Testimony Da  Laboratory Report(s):  Laboratory Nur  Laboratory Nur  Laboratory Nur  Examiner Bench Notes	ript(s) of:  ate(s):  mber:  mber:  s of:	310280 RQ and 310280	Material ilty plea-no tra	Pages:	Date:		

· ~

CRM 824 A

:			1
If yes, please identify and/or describe the material:submit	ting agency lett	er dated (	Oct. 17, 1983
			1
	_		:
Results of R	eview		
File #: 95-257956 Item or Specimen #	Reviewed:	Q1-Q13, 1	K3, K4, K6, K7
Review of Laboratory Report	(s) and Bench	Notes:	<del></del>
Note: Numbered comments are re additional pages for any "No" or "Unab			nses
Did the examiner perform the appropriate tests in a somethods, protocols, and analytic techniques available and analytic techniques.	-	-	
Yes  Are the examination results set forth in the laboratory the bench notes?  Yes	□ No X □ (	rted and a	Determine dequately documented in Determine
) Are the examination results set forth in the laboratory	□ No X□ ( report(s) suppor X□ No □ U	rted and a	dequately documented in
Are the examination results set forth in the laboratory the bench notes?	nony:	rted and a nable to I	dequately documented in Determine
Are the examination results set forth in the laboratory the bench notes?  Review of Testi	nony:	rted and a nable to I	dequately documented in Determine
Are the examination results set forth in the laboratory the bench notes?  Review of Testi  Note: Numbered comments are re additional pages for any "No" or "Unab	nony:	rted and a nable to I	dequately documented in Determine
Are the examination results set forth in the laboratory the bench notes?  Review of Testi  Note: Numbered comments are readditional pages for any "No" or "Unab	neport(s) support No D U  mony:  equired below the to Determine	nable to I	dequately documented in Determine
Are the examination results set forth in the laboratory the bench notes?  Review of Testi  Note: Numbered comments are readditional pages for any "No" or "Unab  Transcript not available.  Testimony consistent with the laboratory report(s)?	neport(s) support Yes	or on	dequately documented in Determine
Are the examination results set forth in the laboratory the bench notes?  Review of Testi  Note: Numbered comments are readditional pages for any "No" or "Unab  Transcript not available.  Testimony consistent with the laboratory report(s)?  Testimony consistent with the bench notes?	neport(s) support You No U  mony:  equired below the to Determine  Yes  Yes	or on  Respon	dequately documented in Determine  Unable to Determine  Unable to Determine  Unable to Determine

Page 2 of 3

Initials: Sw

## Comments (Set forth by above question #, if applicable. Use "Additional Comments" Sheet, if needed)

File #:	95-257956					
#1. It can	not be determine	ed from the not	tes if the test	s were performed in a	scientifically acc	ceptable manner.
						,
#2. The r	esults are not add	equately docun	nented in the	notes. The notes are r	ot dated, are in	pencil instead of in
and use a	bbreviations diff	icult to interpr	et. The techr	nician did not documen	nt the recovery o	f hair from the
evidence.	The examiner d	id not documen	nt the full ra	nge of microscopic cha	racteristics of th	e suspect's and
victim's l	known hair as he	normally does	<b>3.</b>			<u>.</u>
_				·		
						:
				<u> </u>		1
Review co	ompleted at:	2:30 PM	(Time),	10/23/02	(Date)	;
Cotal time	e spent conductir	ng review (to n	earest 1/4 ho	our): 0.25 hrs.		,
	•	· ·				!
				pendent, unbiased man		results of my revie
e mny do	cumented on this	s report consist	ung or a tota	l of3 pag	ges.	į
			/	Ti let	1	Oct. 23, 2002
			10	(Signature)	m	(Date)
			,	<b>( )</b>		,
	•					
						:

Initials: Sur

, --: